UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N	
10/810,007	03/26/2004	Fatih M. Ozluturk	1-2-0103.7US 7271	
²⁴³⁷⁴ VOLPE AND F	7590 08/12/200 KOENIG, P.C.	EXAMINER		
DEPT. ICC	,	MOUTAOUAKIL, MOUNIR		
UNITED PLAZ 30 SOUTH 17T	ZA, SUITE 1600 CH STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPH	IA, PA 19103	2619		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/810,007		OZLUTURK, FATIH M.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		MOUNIR M	OUTAOUAKIL	2619			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the o	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri- re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat- pely received by the Office later than three months after the ma- ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS 1.136(a). In no even iod will apply and will of tute, cause the applica	S COMMUNICATION i, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONEI	J. lely filed the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition is in condition.	his action is now	or formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□	Claim(s) 28 and 29 is/are pending in the approximate the above claim(s) is/are withded claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 28-29 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examination on Claim(s) filled on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) are subjected to is/are: a) are subjected i	drawn from cons	juirement.	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		I) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da i) Notice of Informal P i) Other:	nte			

Art Unit: 2619

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed 05-15-2008 has been entered and considered.

Claims 28-29 are pending in this application.

Claims 28-29 are rejected as discussed below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The added subject matter which is not described in the original disclosure is as follow: "at least one of the communication channels carries time multiplexed signaling information and power control information".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Art Unit: 2619

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamalainen et al (US 6,072,787) in view of Zehavi et al (US 5,892,774). Hereinafter referred to as Hamalainen and Zehavi.

Regarding claims 28-29. Hamalainen discloses a method of supporting plurality of communication rates. The method comprises and antenna (fig.7. mobile station must have an antenna), a circuit coupled to the antenna (it is inherent that a circuit must be connected to the antenna to process incoming and outgoing intelligence), the circuit being configured to establish an initial communication link with a base station (col.6, lines 60-62, the MS sends and initial call set-up) the circuit being configured to determine a data rate required to support a first communication at time intervals (see col.5, lines 44-47. The MS is configured indicate the transfer data rate), wherein, in response to determining the data rate required to support the first communication (see col.5, lines 44-47. The MS is configured indicate the transfer data rate), a sufficient number of communication channels for transmission at the data rate are allocated (col.5, lines 44-67); the circuit being further configured to reallocate the communication channels should be required a data rate change (col.6, lines 18-32).

Art Unit: 2619

Hamalainen discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention, including using control channels, signaling between the base station and the mobile device, with the exception of multiplexing signaling information and power control information. However, Zehavi, from the same field of endeavor, discloses a method of multiplexing signaling messages and power control commands (col.1, lines 60-67) for the purpose of adjusting transmission power and save resources at the same time. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention implement the method of multiplexing power control and signaling messages, as taught by Zehavi, into the communication method of Hamalainen for at least the reason stated above.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 28-29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2619

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

In the case of amending the claimed invention, Applicant is respectfully requested to indicate the portion(s) of the specification which dictate(s) the structure relied on for proper interpretation and also to verify and ascertain the metes and bounds of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 2619

When responding to this office action, applicants are advised to clearly point out the patentable novelty which they think the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. Applicants must also show how the amendments avoid such references or objections. See 37C.F.R 1.111(c). In addition, applicants are advised to provide the examiner with the line numbers and pages numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist examiner in locating the appropriate paragraphs.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MOUNIR MOUTAOUAKIL whose telephone number is (571)270-1416. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (1pm-4: 30pm) eastern time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on 571-272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2619

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. M./ Examiner, Art Unit 2619

> /Hassan Kizou/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2619